Collaborative Print Archives Network

Planning Meeting
February 22, 2010
Agenda

I. Project goals and overview of current initiatives

II. Proposal for a prototype print archives network
   Action requested: Endorse proposed prototype as a framework for near-term planning

III. Service Agreements
   Action requested: Adopt baseline features as initial working assumptions

IV. Print Archives Metadata
   Action requested: Identify most promising directions, if possible

V. Next Steps
I. Project Goals
Proposed Project Phases

• Phase 1: Planning & Partnership
  January – September 2010

• Phase 2: Pilot Implementation
  October 2010 – June 2011

• Phase 3: Expansion to additional partners & content
  July 2011 – September 2012
**Phase 1: Planning and Partnership Development**

- Aggregate information about print archiving projects
- Identify network-level selection for archives
- Explore common or interoperable MOUs, disclosure system(s), access/delivery system(s)
- Develop business model(s) recognizing both regional and national relationships, consortial and CRL activities
- Identify opportunities and advocate for external funding
Overview of Current Initiatives: Print Archive Characteristics

- Time period: Retrospective vs. prospective
- Archiving activity: Built vs. de facto
- Selection method: by publisher, title, or domain
## Current Initiatives:
### Retrospective Built Print Journal Archives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Consortium</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>CRL</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>JSTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>ASERL</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>TBD spring 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>CIC</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>Five Colleges (MA)</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>American Chemical Society, American Physical Society, APA Journals, Institute of Physics, JSTOR, Project Muse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>GWLA</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>TBD spring 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>Orbis-Cascade</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>American Chemical Society, JSTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>PALCI</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>American Chemical Society, Institute of Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>JSTOR, IEEE, others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Publisher</td>
<td>Western North Carolina Library Network</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>JSTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Title</td>
<td>OCUL</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Title</td>
<td>TRLN</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Title list available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. Proposal for a Prototype Print Archives Network

A prototype print archives network building on the overlap among currently-active built archives for four sets of publisher content:

- JSTOR
- American Chemical Society
- American Physical Society
- American Institute of Physics

Build from existing consortial publisher-based archives, add corresponding content from other libraries/consortia.
Proposal for a Prototype Print Archives Network

Deliverable: A plan, design, and cost estimates for:

• Service agreement(s)
• A common database of archive holdings
• An access/delivery mechanism among participants
• A business model identifying cost factors and cost-sharing principles

Timeframe: March through September 2010

Action requested: Endorse proposed prototype project
III. Service Agreements

Proposed baseline features

- Form of agreement: Written legal agreement or MOU between CRL and participating consortium
- Retention period: 25 years, review every 10 years
- Ownership: Remains with original owner
- Recall/exit terms: Offer material to other participants
- Access and delivery: To members of participating consortia including CRL libraries
- Business model principles: Share infrastructure costs (keep to a minimum). Include partial support for archiving costs?

Action requested

- Adopt baseline features as initial working assumptions
IV. Print Archives Metadata

No single system currently supports all the requirements of a Print Archive Holdings Database.

**Action requested**
If possible, identify most promising directions for holdings metadata system(s).
Potential Sources for Serial Metadata

- WorldCat (4 million serial titles)
- Ulrich's (270,000 active serial titles)
- Hathi Trust (100,000 serial titles)
- Portico (8,000)
- JSTOR (1,200)
System Options for Print Archives Metadata

OCLC WorldCat 583 Action Note with communal LHRs

Source data (Ulrich’s, JSTOR, Portico, local, others)

If available

Archiving libraries/consortia

Specialized Print Archives system

Ithaka What to Withdraw

The Center for Research Libraries
GLOBAL RESOURCES NETWORK
V. Next Steps

Work closely with participating consortia to:

- Develop MOUs to specify service agreements and commitments
- Specify requirements for systems and tools needed to support holdings disclosure and decision support
- Identify cost elements and develop cost estimates
- Develop business model(s) which recognize both regional and national relationships

Schedule monthly Web meetings (with full group) to report progress and continue planning.

Identify opportunities for external funding to support implementation of a national-level agreement and services.
Questions, comments, suggestions?